International Journal of Scientific Research and Modern Education (IJSRME)
Impact Factor: 7.137, ISSN (Online): 2455 – 5630
(www.rdmodernresearch.com) Volume 8, Issue 1, 2023

GAUCRETE ENGINEERING AS AN ENVIRONMENT FRIENDLY SOLUTION

Sanjay Dangi
Transport Engineering and Management, Maharshi Dayanand University, Rohtak, Haryana

Cite This Article: Sanjay Dangi, "Gaucrete Engineering as an Environment Friendly Solution", International Journal of Scientific Research and Modern Education, Volume 8, Issue 1, Page Number 55-60, 2023.

Abstract:

Sustainable development is an essential approach to meet the needs of the present without compromising the ability of the future generations to meet their own needs. Civil engineering is an integral part of sustainable development, as it plays a significant role in shaping the built environment. The incorporation of environmentally-friendly solutions into engineering projects is incredibly important for promoting sustainability and protecting our planet's natural resources. This approach involves a shift towards designing and constructing buildings and infrastructure that minimize waste, conserve energy, and reduce emissions. Incorporating sustainable solutions can also lead to cost savings in the long run by reducing energy consumption and minimizing water use. Furthermore, by incorporating these solutions, engineers are taking responsibility for their impact on the environment and working towards creating a better future for generations to come. Overall, it is critical that we continue to prioritize sustainability in engineering projects, and work towards developing innovative and effective solutions to promote a greener and more sustainable future.

Key Words: Gaucrete, Sustainable Development, Green Buildings, Aesthetic Quality, Affordable Housing, Water Proof, Fire Resistant, Biodegradable Bricks, Carbon Footprint.

Introduction:

Natural construction materials have become increasingly popular in recent years due to their sustainability, affordability, and unique aesthetic qualities. In comparison to traditional concrete houses, natural materials such as mud house, cob, cardboard, earthen bags, hempcrete, rammed earth, stone, timber, and gaucrete offer several advantages. The oldest known natural construction material still in use today is mud. Mud has been used for thousands of years as a building material due to its abundance, availability and ease of use. It can be found in almost every region of the world, and has been used to make everything from simple mud bricks and houses to large-scale structures like temples and fortresses. Mud buildings are still commonly found in many parts of the world, especially in developing countries where modern building materials are often too expensive or unavailable. Mud is an environmentally friendly material that is highly sustainable, and can even help to regulate indoor temperature by providing insulation and thermal mass properties. Despite its relative simplicity and low cost, mudis still widely used today due to its versatility and durability.

Understanding Cow Dung Bricks (Gaucrete Bricks):

Mud and cow dung bricks (Gaucrete Bricks) have several benefits over regular kiln bricks. Firstly, they are a much more affordable option as they do not require the same level of energy and resources for production. Secondly, mud and cow dung bricks (Gaucrete Bricks) have better insulation properties, meaning they can help regulate temperature and humidity levels within a building. They are also more durable and resistant to weather damage, making them a great choice for homes in areas with heavy rainfall or extreme temperatures. Additionally, using mud and cow dung bricks help reduce waste and carbon emissions associated with conventional brick production methods & cow dung bricks (Gaucrete Bricks) do not require water in the construction of these bricks.

There have been several studies done on the impact of using mud and cow dung bricks on the environment compared to traditional kiln bricks. These studies have found that mud and cow dung bricks have a significantly lower carbon footprint compared to kiln bricks because they require far less energy and resources to produce. Additionally, mud and cow dung bricks are biodegradable, meaning that they do not contribute to waste or pollution in the environment.

Certainly! Mud and cow dung bricks have been used for centuries in many parts of the world, particularly in rural areas where other building materials may be scarce or expensive. Here are a few examples:

- India: In the state of Rajasthan, mud and cow dung bricks are commonly used to build homes that can withstand extreme heat and dry conditions. The mixture of mud and cow dung acts as a natural insulation, keeping the interior of the home cool during the day and warm at night.
- Africa: In many countries throughout Africa, mud and cow dung bricks are used to build affordable and sustainable housing for low- income families. The bricks can be easily made on-site using simple tools and local resources.
- Nepal: In the Himalayan region of Nepal, mud and cow dung bricks are used to build earthquake-

resistant homes and buildings. The bricks can absorb shock waves and distribute the force of an earthquake, making them an ideal building material in this seismically active area.

Overall, mud and cow dung bricks have proven to be a reliable and sustainable building material in many different contexts.

However, I am aware that mud has been used as a construction material for thousands of years, particularly in regions where other modern building materials are either unavailable or too expensive. Mud architecture is common in many parts of the world, including rural communities in Africa, South Asia, and the Middle East.

Gaucrete structures are typically made by mixing Mud, cowdung and other natural materials such as hydrated lime and then shaping and drying the mixture into bricks, blocks, or plaster. Gaucrete buildings can range from simple huts and shelters to more elaborate homes, mosques, and public buildings, depending on the skills of the builders and the resources available. One notable advantage of Gaucrete as a construction material is its low cost and abundance. Mud is also environmentally friendly and sustainable, making it an attractive option for those who prioritize eco-friendly and green building practices. Additionally, mud has excellent insulating properties, which can help keep indoor temperatures stable and comfortable in both hot and cold climates. Overall, mud is a unique and versatile construction material withits own advantages and challenges that have made it popular in many parts of the world. Cow dung has been used as a construction material for centuries in various parts of the world. It is a natural, cost-effective and sustainable alternative to conventional building materials such as brick, cement and concrete. Cow dung is a rich source of organic matter, which can be used to reinforce adobe, mud bricks and other earthen structures.

When mixed with water and other natural fibers such as straw or rice husk, cow dung forms a strong composite material that can withstand earthquakes, extreme temperatures, and other environmental stresses. Additionally, the thermal insulation properties of cow dung make it suitable for use in construction projects where energy efficiency is a priority.

Moreover, cow dung has anti-bacterial and anti-fungal properties due to the presence of beneficial microbes. These properties can help to reduce the risk of mold growth and other forms of indoor pollution in buildings constructed using this material. Furthermore, cow dung is readily available in many rural communities where it can be used to build affordable housing, community centers, and other important infrastructure.

Despite its numerous benefits, cow dung as a construction material does have some limitations. For example, it may not be suitable for areas with high rainfall, as it can absorb water and become unstable over time. However, with proper treatment and maintenance, cow dung can be an effective and sustainable solution for meeting the housing needs of people in developing countries and beyond.

Materials Used In Production of Gaucrete Bricks:

The Gaucrete is produced by mixing of cow dung, hydrated lime and clay

- **Cow Dung -** Cow dung have huge amount of fibers and proteins which helps it in making a great natural binding substance like mucazo, enzymes & dead cells which helps to strength the bricks and make them more durable. In addition, cow dung has good thermal properties, making it an ideal material for building houses in hot and dry climates. It also provides excellent acoustic insulation, reducing noise levels inside buildings. Cow dung has 20% ash which helps in maintaining heat so that's why cow dung is best resistant to heat.
- **Hydrated Lime -** Hydrated lime provides the is a common material used in the production of mud and Gaucrete bricks. It is added to mix the durability, strength, & workability of bricks. Additionally it helps to reduce cracking and improves the overall finish of the bricks during the drying process of bricks which provides stronger and more durable bricks. It also helps in making bricks water resistant and fire resistant.
- **MUD -** Mud has been traditionally used as an addictive in gaucrete bricks because it contains organic matter that helps to bind the soil particles together. This increases the strength and durability of the bricks. Some studies has shown that adding mud to cow dung bricks can increase compressive strength by up to 25% while also improving resistance to water absorption and cracking.

How to Prepare Gaucrete Bricks:

Gaucrete bricks are prepared by amalgam of mud, slaked lime, fresh cow dung just before 12 hours of received from cattle because after 12 hours decomposition starts taking place at slower rate. Take a specific amount of material as required in different situations as provided in formula for different situations. Wear the gloves or apply mustard oil on hands and then start mixing the cow-dung, mud, hydrated lime as per need mix them properly and then cover it for 12 hours and then again mix this processed material in 12 hours interval for at least 4 times for its better performance & then mortar is reddy to give shape as per required and then tis material is put into the blocks and let them sundried for 10 to 14 days then these Gaucrete bricks are reddy to use for constructing house. The mortar during construction and plaster can be done by this material benefit of plaster for doing with same material is that wavy walls occur which help in increasing the surface area of walls which results into more purification of air.

Gaucrete Bricks Types:

- 2 parts of cow-dung and one part of mud this formula is normally used for preparation of flower pots, bowls etc.
- 2 parts of cow dung, 1 part of mud & 5% hydrated lime bricks prepared by this material gives waterproof bricks.
- 80% of cow-dung & 20% hydrated lime gives a waterproof and fire resistant bricks.

Advantages of Gaucrete Bricks Over Regular Kiln Bricks:

- Sustainability: Gaucrete bricks are often more sustainable than regular kiln bricks. They are typically renewable, biodegradable, and have a lower carbon footprint compared to modern materials. For example, using materials such as bamboo for roofing and gaucrete bricks for walls in residential buildings can significantly reduce the carbon footprint of a building construction process (Griggs, 2012).
- Health benefits: Gaucrete bricks tend to have fewer harmful chemicals and toxins than regular klinbrick's . This reduces the risk of allergies, respiratory problems, and other health issues associated with exposure to harmful chemicals used in regular kin bricks such as burning of rubber, fossil fuels during the manufacturing of regular kiln bricks (Van der Ryn& Cowan, 2013).
- Aesthetic appeal: Gaucrete bricks have a unique aesthetic appeal that cannot be replicated with kin bricks. They give buildings a rustic, timeless, and natural look that blends seamlessly with the environment. This makes them ideal for use in areas with natural beauty or historical significance (Benjamin, 2016).
- Durability: Natural construction materials tend to be stronger and more durable than kiln bricks . For example Gaucrete bricks, mud bricks, stone and timber have proven to be durable construction materials for centuries (Kibert, 2016). Additionally, natural materials are less prone to wear and tear and can withstand natural forces such as wind and sun better than klin bricks.
- Cow dung, mud & hydrated lime is a readily available and low-cost material that is easily accessible in many parts of the world, particularly in rural areas. This makes it an ideal choice for building sustainable and affordable homes.
- Cow dung has been traditionally used as a natural adhesive for mud bricks, which can increase the strength and durability of the bricks. The presence of cow dung in the bricks also makes them more resistant to water damage.
- Cow dung has antimicrobial properties, which can help to prevent the growth of fungi and bacteria on the surface of the bricks. This can be particularly useful in areas with high humidity or moisture, where mold and mildew can be a problem.
- The use of Gaucrete in construction can also have environmental benefits. Cow dung is a renewable resource that can be easily composted or used as fertilizer, making it a sustainable choice for building materials. Additionally, the use of natural materials like cow dung, mud, hydrated lime can help to reduce the carbon footprint of construction projects, as they have a lower embodied energy than synthetic or processed materials.
- The house made by the use of Gaucrete bricks and bamboo(timber) are economical because these bricks are made using the natural material without changing it's properties without getting the material's processed.
- Water is saved totally as compared to regular kiln bricks there is no requirement of water during production of Gaucrete brick's &curring during the construction work which results into saving of large amount of water.
- Gaucrete bricks have ability to absorb pollution, foul smells & smoke which results into improved air quality and lesser environmental impact.
- Gaucrete bricks have several benefits over regular kiln bricks. Firstly; they are a much more affordable option as they do not require the same level of energy and resources for production.
- Gaucrete bricks have better insulation properties, meaning theycan help regulate temperature and humidity levels within a building. They are also more durable and resistant to weather damage, making them a great choice for homes in areas with heavy rainfall or extreme temperatures. Gaucrete bricks control temperature which results into lower energy bills. They are also often more affordable to build than convectional houses, as the materials used are often readily available and inexpensive.
- Gaucrete bricks helps reduce waste and carbon emissionsassociated with conventional brick production methods.
- Cow dung as a construction material can hold up against weather and other external factors quite well if it is properly treated and applied.
- The organic matter in cow dung, which consists of cellulose,hemicellulose, lignin, and other substances, provides a natural binding agent that helps to hold the material together and resist

weathering. Additionally, cow dung has good thermal insulation properties, which can help to keep buildings cool in hot climates and warm in cold climates, reducing the need for energy-intensive heating and cooling systems.

Negative Impacts of Modern Construction Material's:

The manufacturing of cement, steel, and bricks releases different types of pollutants into the environment. The production of cement involves burning large amounts of fossil fuels, which releases carbon dioxide (CO2), a greenhouse gas that contributes to climate change. Additionally, cement production releases nitrogen oxide (NOx) and sulfur dioxide (SO2), which contribute to air pollution and can lead to respiratory problems. The production of steel involves melting iron ore in a blast furnace, which releases carbon monoxide (CO), sulfur dioxide (SO2), and particulate matter (PM) into the air. Steel production also generates slag, a byproduct that contains heavy metals and can contaminate soil and water if not properly disposed of. Brick production also releases pollutants into the environment. Brick kilns emit high levels of particulate matter, sulfur dioxide (SO2), nitrogen oxides (NOx), and carbon monoxide (CO), which can cause respiratory problems and contribute to air pollution.

- Cement kilns: Cement production is a major contributor to air pollution due to the large amounts of carbon dioxide, particulate matter, and other pollutants that are released during the manufacturing process. According to a report by the Global Alliance for Incinerator Alternatives (GAIA), cement kilns are responsible for emitting more than 500,000 tons of toxic pollutants each year in the United States alone. These pollutants include nitrogen oxides, sulfur dioxide, and mercury.
- Steel kilns: Steel production is another major source of air pollution, with steel kilns releasing large amounts of carbon monoxide, nitrogen oxides, and sulfur dioxide. According to a study by the European Environmental Bureau, steel production accounts for around 7% of global carbon dioxide emissions. The study also found that steel production is responsible for releasing large amounts of other pollutants, including particulate matter, benzene, and formaldehyde.
- Brick kilns: Brick production is a major source of air pollution in many developing countries, particularly in South Asia. According to a report by the International Energy Agency, brick kilns in India alone are responsible for emitting more than 42 million tons of carbon dioxide each year. These kilns also release large amounts of particulate matter and other pollutants, which can have serious health and environmental impacts.
- Habitat Destruction: The mining process can destroy habitats, leading to the loss of biodiversity and ecosystem services.
- Soil Erosion: Mining can cause soil erosion, which can lead to the loss of soil fertility and reduce agrarian productivity.
- Water Pollution: Mining can pollute rivers, streams, and other water bodies with toxic chemicals, sediments, and heavy metals.
- Air Pollution: Mining can release toxic gases into the atmosphere, leading to respiratory illnesses and other health problems.
- Climate Change: Mining can contribute to climate change by releasing greenhouse gas emissions, which can lead to the warming of the planet.

Pollution Released from Construction Industry:

The construction industry is a major contributor to pollution, releasing various emissions, including:

- Carbon Dioxide: The construction industry is responsible for around 40% of carbon dioxide emissions worldwide.
- Airborne Particulate Matter: Construction activities can release airborne particulate matter, including dust, which can lead to respiratory problems.
- Nitrogen Oxides: Construction activities can also release nitrogen oxides, which can lead to the formation of smog and other forms of air pollution.
- Water Pollution: Construction activities can pollute water bodies with sediment, chemicals, and other pollutants, leading to water quality degradation.

Percentage of Pollution by These Industries:

According to a report by Greenpeace, the construction industry is responsible for around 23% of global emissions. Mining activities are responsible for around 4-7% of global greenhouse gas emissions. In terms of air pollution, a study by the Environmental Defense Fund found that the construction industry is responsible for around 23% of airborne particulate matter emissions in the United States. Overall, the manufacturing of cement, steel, and bricks has significant environmental impacts, including air and water pollution, greenhouse gas emissions, and depletion of natural resources. It is important to explore sustainable alternatives and adopt practices that reduce the negative impacts of these industries. Modern construction materials can have negative impacts on the environment, public health, and even the durability of the structures they are used to build. Additionally, some modern materials may not be as durable as traditional materials, leading to a shorter lifespan

for buildings and infrastructure. There are steps that can be taken to mitigate these negative impacts, such as using sustainable materials and practices, recycling and repurposing materials, and designing buildings to be more energy-efficient and resilient.

Conclusion:

In conclusion, the production of cement, brick kilns, and steel creates significant pollution, leading to severe health and environmental impacts. Limiting pollution from these industries is essential to protect human health and the environment. Solutions include the use of cleaner production technologies, stricter regulations on pollution emissions, and increased public awareness of the impacts of pollution on health and the environment. Gaucrete have been proven to be durable and long-lasting buildings, and they offer many benefits in terms of sustainability and affordability. From practical handbooks to scholarly research, there is a wealth of information available for those interested in building their own mud house or learning more about this traditional form of architecture.The use of natural construction materials is gaining popularity as more people become concerned about the impact of construction on the environment and human health. Building with these materials can help reduce our carbon footprint and improve indoor air quality, while providing beautiful and sustainable spaces for us to live and work.

Yes, there have been several studies done on the impact of using mud and Gaucrete on the environment compared to traditional kiln bricks. These studies have found that Gaucrete have a significantly lower carbon footprint compared to kiln bricks because they require far less energy and resources to produce. Additionally, Gaucrete are biodegradable, meaning that they do not contribute to waste or pollution in the environment. However, it is important to note that these types of bricks may not be suitable for all building projects and should be properly tested and evaluated by a professional beforeuse. The target is to make the multi-storey building and, in the hospitals, where people get treatment so that they can be benefitted by the natural properties of Gaucrete. The product should be broadly exposed to control the pollution and reduce the global issue and we can save the environment for future and present.

References:

1. "Sustainable Building with Earth" by John Norton and Michael G. Ramage" The Mud Brick Adventure" by James Henderson.A Practical Guide" by Michael Wingate, and "Sustainable Materials, Processes and Production" edited by Annie Weldon and Tom Woolley.
2. Greenpeace. (2019). Construction industry emissions: Trends and drivers. Retrieved from https://www. greenpeace.org/international/ publication/23936/construction-industry-emissions-trends-and- drivers/
3. Environmental Defense Fund. (2020). Construction air pollution: The impact on public health. Retrieved from https://www.edf.org/air/ construction-air-pollution-impact-public-health
4. United Nations Environment Programme. (2019). Global Resources Outlook 2019. Retrieved from https:// www.resourcepanel.org/reports/global-resources- outlook-2019
5. The Straw Bale House. (n.d.). FAQ. Retrieved from https:// www.strawbale.com/faq/
6. Natural Building Collective. (n.d.). Natural building in South Africa. Retrieved from https://www.natu ralbuildingcollective.com/
7. Green Building Advisor. (2013). The rise of natural building.
8. Retrieved from https://www.greenbuildingadvisor.com/article/ the-rise-of-natural-building
9. National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST). (2018). Green buildings: An overview of their design, construction, and operation. Retrieved from https://www.nist.gov/system/files/ documents/201 8/05/18/ga_18-2.pdf
10. Energy.gov. (n.d.). Energy-efficient homes. Retrieved from https:// www.energy.gov/energysaver/desi gn/energy-efficient-home- design
11. Green Building Council. (n.d.). Where are the world's greenest buildings? Retrieved from https://www .worldgbc.org/news- media/where-are-worlds-greenest-buildings
12. Global Alliance for Incinerator Alternatives (GAIA). (2019). Cement industry pollution: A global analysis. Retrieved from https://www.no-burn.org/wp-content/uploads/Cement-Industry- Pollution-Report-GAIA-2019.pdf
13. European Environmental Bureau. (2019). Steeling our air: A review of the air pollution from the European steel industry. Retrieved from https://meta.eeb.org/wp-content/uploads/ 2019/05/2019-05-14-Air-pollution-from-the-European-steel- industry.pdf
14. International Energy Agency. (2019). The Future of Cooling in India: Opportunities and Challenges. Retrieved from https:// www.iea.org/reports/the-future-of-cooling-in-india
15. Global Cement and Concrete Association. (2020). Climate and Energy. Retrieved from https://gccasso ciation.org/sustainability/ climate-and-energy/
16. International Energy Agency. (2018). Energy Technology Perspectives 2018. Retrieved from https://w ww.iea.org/reports/ energy-technology-perspectives-2018
17. World Steel Association. (2020). Facts & Figures 2020. Retrieved from https://www.worldsteel.org/en /dam/jcr:e0836990-b1cf-4cb4-8b25-a692d56ffb13/World%2520Steel%2520in%2520Figures%25 20

2020.pdf
18. International Brick Plant Environmental Network. (2020).Environmental Issues. Retrieved from http://ibpen.net/ environmental-issues/
19. Museodella Scienza e della Tecnologia Leonardo da Vinci. (n.d.). Natural building materials. Retrieved from https:// www.museoscienza.org/english/leonardo/natura/materiali.htm
20. Sustainable Development Technology Canada. (n.d.). Natural building materials. Retrieved from https://sdtech.ca/the-benefits- of-natural-building-materials/
21. GreenSpec. (n.d.). Natura building materials. Retrieved from https://www.buildinggreen.com/featur e/natural-building- materials
22. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). (2018). Sustainable Management of Construction and Demolition Materials. Retrieved from https://www.epa.gov/smm/sustainable-management- construc tion-and-demolition-materials
23. Global Alliance for Buildings and Construction. (2018). Embodied Carbon and Embodied Energy of Construction Materials, Report. Retrieved from https://www.gbcassociation.org/fileadmin/gbc central /Publications/GBCEMBODIED2018REPORTWEB.PDF
24. National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health. (2021).Asbestos. Retrieved from https://www. cdc.gov/niosh/topics/ asbestos/default.html
25. Handbook of functional requirements of buildings (other than industrial building). SP: 41(S&T) (1987). New Delhi: Bureau of Indian Standard. p. 37.
26. Chel Arvind & Tiwari, G.N. (2009). Performance evaluation and life cycle cost analysis of earth to air heat exchanger integrated with adobe building for New Delhi composite climate". Energy and Buildings, 41, 56–66.
27. Eben, S.M.A. (1990). Adobe as a thermal regulating material.Solar Wind Technology, 7, 407–416.
28. Harvey, F. (2009, April). Efforts increase to improve sustainability. Energy Efficient Buildings, Financial Times. 1-3.
29. Jadhav, R. (2007). Green architecture in India: Combining modern technology with traditional methods. UN Chronicle, 154 (2), 66-71.
30. Naseer, M. A. (2013). Energy Efficient Building Design: Revisiting Traditional Architecture. The Asian Conference on Sustainability, Energy & the Environment, Official Conference Proceedings, Osaka, Japan, 2013 (pp. 470-482).
31. Pal, R. K. (2012). Analysis of Geothermal Cooling System for Buildings. International Journal of Engineering Sciences & Research Technology, 1(10), 569-572.ISSN: 2180-1053 Vol. 8 No.1 January – June 2016 39Journal of Mechanical Engineering and Technology
32. Pal, R. K. (2015). Thermal Performance of Mud Houses. Research Journal of Engineering and Technology, 6(4), 439-442.
33. Uthaipattrakul, Dh. (2004). Mud-house construction technique. Building the house with mud. Suan-ngarn-mena Press, Bangkok, 27-50.
34. WBCSD (2009). Energy efficiency in buildings: Transforming the market. A Report by World Business Council for Sustainable Development.
35. Brzozowska-Backiel, B. (2014). Clay and straw building. Initial assessment of selected aspects of durability. Ecological Engineering 4: 108-2016.
36. Golański, M. (2012). Energy efficiency with the use of nonconventional construction materials. Zero energy building. Przegląd Budowlany 12: 68-74.
37. Kamieniarz, M. (2016). Inovative and ecological clay building. Magazine of Architecture. Civil and Environmental Engineering, JCEEA, XXXIII, 63 (3/16): 151-158. ,
38. A. (2017). Return of naturalbuilding. Architecture. Krakowski Rynek Nieruchomości 14: 14-15.
39. Natural building as an environment... Kapłańska, W. (2015). Ecological building and energy efficient building of the future.
40. Development. Przegląd Budowlany 2: 36-41.
41. Radwan, MR., Kashyout ALHB., ELshimy, HG.,Ashour, SF. (2015).
42. Green building asconcept of sustainability. Sustainable strategy to design Office building. Radzymińska, M., Jakubowska, D., Mozolewski, W. (2015). Ecological behaviors and attitudes toward the natural environment. Handel Wewnętrzny 2(355): 346-356. Runkiewicz, L. (2016). Issues of environment protection and 'Ecology and Architecture'.
43. Wasilewska, A. W., Pietruszka, L.B. (2017). Natural materials in eco- building. Ecology vs. Architecture. Przegląd Budowlany 10: 50-53.
44. Zhang, Y., Kang, J., Jin, H. (2018). A Review of Green Building Development in China from the Perspective of Energy Saling. [in:] Energies 11 (2): 334.
45. https://slomianydom.eu/budownictwo-naturalne/ – accessed: 11.03.2019 https://slomianydom.eu/faq/ – accessed: 11.03.2019.