

A STUDY ON EMOTIONAL INTELLIGENCE AND STRESS OF ELEMENTARY SCHOOL TEACHERS

Rev. Fr. Baiju Augustine OSB* & Dr. Jayaram Kanzal**

* Correspondent, St. Benedict's Institute of Nursing, Kengeri, Anchepalya, Bangalore, Karnataka

** Principal, Benedictine Academy, Kengeri, Anchepalya, Bangalore, Karnataka

Cite This Article: Rev. Fr. Baiju Augustine OSB & Dr. Jayaram Kanzal, "A Study on Emotional Intelligence and Stress of Elementary School Teachers", International Journal of Scientific Research and Modern Education, Volume 8, Issue 2, July - December, Page Number 7-11, 2023.

Copy Right: © IJSRME, 2023 (All Rights Reserved). This is an Open Access Article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract:

The present study aimed to study the influence of emotional intelligence onstress of elementary school teachers. This study adopted survey method of research. Participants were 260 elementary school teachers random only selected from various schools in Bangalore. It is to determine the sources of emotional intelligence and stress for classroom teacher and teachers working in elementary schools and methods that are used by them in order to emotional intelligence with the stress. In this research, qualitative and quantitative methods have been used jointly. The data collection tool for quantitative research is emotional intelligence scale constructed and standardized by Anukool Kyde, Sunjyat Dethe and Upinder Dhar (2001), Vedant Publications, Lucknow and Stress Questionnaire constructed by Latha Satish (1997). The analysis has been done through SPSS 23 for Windows; mean, standard deviation; t-ratio and F ration were calculated. The study alsorevealed that there was no significant difference in emotional intelligence and stress with respect to gender, locality of institution, mode of management, years of teaching experience, marital status and type of family towards elementary school teachers.

Key Words: Elementary School Teacher, Emotional Intelligence, Stress.

Introduction:

Teaching is a noble profession. The teachers are the heart andcore of the whole educational process. The strength of the education system largely depends upon the quality ofteachers. These individuals should be able to impart character among the pupils. They are expected to arouse enthusiasmand be a source of inspiration for the real pupils. No doubt, the teachers are the real architects of a nation and the makers ofthe humanity.

Origin of the Concept of Emotional Intelligence:

The origins of the concept of emotional intelligence dates to Darwin's work on the importance of emotional expression to survival and successful adaptation. In the 1900 even though traditional definitions of intelligence emphasized cognitive aspects such as memory and problem solving, several influential researchers in the intelligence field of study had begun to recognize the importance of non-cognitive aspects. For instance as early as 1920, R. K. Thorndike used the termsocial intelligence to describe the skill of understanding and managing other people (Hein, 2005).

Way to Develop the Emotional Intelligence in an Educational Institute:

The administrators, the teachers and students are obliged totake the responsibility together in developing the emotional intelligence at a personal level, at the group level and at the organizational level at the same time. The teacher's duty is developing the emotional intelligence is that he/she unable students to use vocabularies pertaining to the emotion and teach students to comport appropriately. The emotional intelligence training is a scrupulous and difficult task. The test commencement in this task is for the teachers to understand their own emotion, feelings and personality; the teachers should keep in mind of the motto 'Preach who teach you.' Teachers should be careful of their own words and emotion.

Stress and Sources of Stress for Teachers:

The stress, which has become a societal problem today, has become almost a part of our daily lives Sabuncuoğlu, Z. and Melek Tüz. (2003). Stress is aphenomenon that has an impact on people and affecting their behaviors, their job performances and communication with their co-workers. Selye defines stress as an undetermined reaction demonstrated by physique against pressure Aksoy, A. and Kutluca, F. (2005). According to Allen (1983), the stress, regardless of giving or not giving pleasure or pain, signifies a non-special reaction of the body toward any request implied on it for its adaptation Baştuğ, A. (2009). With a general definition, stress is a physiological and psychological reaction of an individual toward him/herself and external environment as a result ofbeing affected by involved environment and working conditions. Stress does not occur automatically. It is developed by the effect of the transformations occurred in individuals' environment Eren, E. (2008). Due to several reasons such as educational policies that are constantly changing in ourcountry, difficulties in the implication, deficiencies in physical conditions, in adequacy of the conditions that teachers are experiencing, teachers proceed to working underintense stress. Especially, it is seen that different factors are included in stress resources of teachers working in elementary schools. According to Turna (2014), different sources of stress

affecting the teacher are; demotivated students, time pressure, workload, disciplinary environment, coping with novelty, being evaluated by others, problems with co-workers, status, administrative reasons, conflict of duties and in appropriate working conditions.

Statement of the Problem:

The present study is entitled "A study on emotional intelligence and stress of elementary school teachers.

Research Method:

In the present study the investigator employed the survey methods.

Sampling of the Study:

Regarding the sample of teachers, on an average thirteen (13) elementary school teacher participants were selected randomly from each of the 20 selected elementary schools. On the whole, the tools were administered to 260 elementary schools teachers. Despite careful supervision, it was found that 2 tools were answered partially and which were perfectly alright could be considered for the analysis.

Objectives of the Study:

To study the significant difference of the following variables on the emotional intelligence of the elementary school teachers

Gender : Male / Female
 Locality of Institution : Rural / Urban

• Mode of Management : Government / Private / Aided

Year of Teaching Experience
 Marital Status
 Type of family
 Below 10 / Above 10
 Married / Unmarried
 Nuclear / Joint

To study the significant difference of the following variables on the stress of the elementary school

teachers

Gender : Male / FemaleLocality of Institution : Rural / Urban

• Mode of Management : Government / Private / Aided

Year of Teaching Experience
 Marital Status
 Type of family
 Below 10 / Above 10
 Married / Unmarried
 Nuclear / Joint

Hypotheses of the Study:

There is a significant difference in the Emotional intelligence elementary school teachers in relation to the following variables.

Gender : Male / FemaleLocality of Institution : Rural / Urban

• Mode of Management : Government / Private / Aided

Years of Teaching Experience
 Marital Status
 Type of family
 Below 10 / Above 10
 Married / Unmarried
 Nuclear / Joint

There is a significant difference in the stress elementary school teachers in relation to the following variables.

Gender : Male / FemaleLocality of Institution : Rural / Urban

• Mode of Management : Government / Private / Aided

Years of Teaching Experience
 Marital Status
 Type of family
 Below 10 / Above 10
 Married / Unmarried
 Nuclear / Joint

Tools Used in the Present Study:

- Emotional Intelligence Scale Constructed and Standardized by Anukool Kyde, Sunjyat Dethe and Upinder Dhar (2001), Vedant Publications, Lucknow.
- Stress Questionnaire constructed by Latha Satish (1997)

Analysis of Data and Interpretation:

Table 1: Showing t- ratio of emotional intelligence of elementary school teachers in relation to their gender

Group	N	Mean	SD	't' value	Level of Significance at 0.05
Male	133	94.54	34.93	0.604	NI-4 CinniCinnut
Female	127	91.54	34.86	0.694	Not Significant

Table 1 indicates that calculated t-ratio (0.694) is not significant at 0.05 (1.96) level of significance. Therefore, from the table it may be concluded that there is no significant difference in emotional intelligence of

elementary school teachers in relation to their gender. Hence, framed hypothesis that there is significant difference in emotional intelligence of elementary school teachers in relation to their gender is rejected.

Table 2: Showing t- ratio of emotional intelligence of elementary school teachers in relation to their locality of institution

mstration							
Group	N	Mean	SD	't' value	Level of Significance at 0.05		
Rural	113	92.48	35.15	0.24	Not Significant		
Urban	147	93.53	34.75	0.24	Not Significant		

Table 2 indicates that calculated t-ratio (0.240) is not significant at 0.05(1.96) level of significance. Therefore, from the table it may be concluded that there is no significant difference in emotional intelligence of elementary school teachers in relation to their locality of institution. Hence, framed hypothesis that there is significant difference in emotional intelligence of elementary school teachers in relation to their locality of institution is rejected.

Table 3: Showing F- ratio of emotional intelligence of elementary school teachers in relation to their mode of management

Mode of Mean Level of Significance 'F' Value **Sum of Squares** df Management at 0.05 **Squares** 4136.108 2 Between Groups 2068.054 1209.172 Within Groups 310757.196 257 1.710 Not Significant Total 314893.304 259

Table 3 indicates that calculated F-ratio (1.710) is not significant at 0.05 level of significance. Therefore, from the table it may be concluded that there is no significant difference in emotional intelligence of elementary school teachers in relation to their mode of management. Hence, framed hypothesis that there is significant difference in emotional intelligence of elementary school teachers in relation to their mode of management is rejected.

Table 4: Showing t- ratio of emotional intelligence of elementary school teachers in relation to their years of teaching experience

touching on parience								
Group	N	Mean	SD	't' value	Level of Significance at 0.05			
Below 10 years	114	91.52	36.75	0.624	Not Cignificant			
Above 10 years	146	94.29	33.40	0.634	Not Significant			

Table 4 indicates that calculated t-ratio (0.634) is not significant at 0.05(1.96) level of significance. Therefore, from the table it may be concluded that there is no significant difference in emotional intelligence of elementary school teachers in relation to their years of teaching experience. Hence, framed hypothesis that there is significant difference in emotional intelligence of elementary school teachers in relation to their years of teaching experience isrejected.

Table 5: Showing t- ratio of emotional intelligence of elementary school teachers in relation to their marital status

Ī	Group	N	Mean	SD	't' value	Level of Significance at 0.05
	Married	124	95.27	34.73	0.069	Not Cionificant
Γ	Unmarried	136	91.08	35.00	0.968	Not Significant

Table 5 indicates that calculated t-ratio (0.968) is not significant at 0.05(1.96) level of significance. Therefore, from the table it may be concluded that there is no significant difference in emotional intelligence of elementary school teachers in relation to their marital status. Hence, framed hypothesis that there is significant difference in emotional intelligence of elementary school teachers in relation to their marital status is rejected.

Table 6: Showing t- ratio of emotional intelligence of elementary school teachers in relation to their type of family

Group	N	Mean	SD	't' value	Level of Significance at 0.05
Nuclear	122	90.20	35.08	1.252	Not Significant
Joint	138	95.62	34.60		Not Significant

Table 5 indicates that calculated t-ratio (1.252) is not significant at 0.05(1.96) level of significance. Therefore, from the table it may be concluded that there is no significant difference in emotional intelligence of elementary school teachers in relation to their type of family. Hence, framed hypothesis that there is significant difference in emotional intelligence of elementary school teachers in relation to their type of family is rejected.

Table 7: Showing t- ratio of stress of elementary school teachers in relation to their gender

Group	N	Mean	SD	't' value	Level of Significance at 0.05
Male	133	32.53	7.32	0.118	Not Significant
Female	127	32.63	6.88		Not Significant

Table 7 indicates that calculated t-ratio (0.118) is not significant at 0.05(1.96) level of significance. Therefore, from the table it may be concluded that there is no significant difference instress of elementary

school teachers in relation to their gender. Hence, framed hypothesis that there is significant difference in stress of elementary school teachers in relation to their gender is rejected.

Table 8: Showing t- ratio of stress of elementary school teachers in relation to their locality of institution

Group	N	Mean	SD	't' Value	Level of Significance at 0.05
Rural	113	32.25	6.86	0.652	Not Significant
Urban	147	32.83	7.28	0.652	Not Significant

Table 8 indicates that calculated t-ratio (0.652) is not significant at 0.05(1.96) level of significance. Therefore, from the table it may be concluded that there is no significant difference instress of elementary school teachers in relation to their locality of institution. Hence, framed hypothesis that there is significant difference in stress of elementary school teachers in relation to their locality of institution is rejected.

Table 9: Showing F- ratio of stress of elementary school teachers in relation to their mode of management

Mode of Management	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Squares	'F' Value	Level of Significance at 0.05
Between Groups	254.056	2	127.028		
Within Groups	12803.082	257	49.817	2.550	Not Significant
Total	13057.138	259			

Table 9 indicates that calculated F-ratio (2.550) is not significant at 0.05level of significance. Therefore, from the table it may be concluded that there is no significant difference instress of elementary school teachers in relation to their mode of management. Hence, framed hypothesis that there is significant difference in stress of elementary school teachers in relation to their mode of management is rejected.

Table 10: Showing t- ratio of stress of elementary school teachers in relation to their years of teaching experience

 Group
 N
 Mean
 SD
 't' value
 Level of Significance at 0.05

 Below 10 years
 114
 33.09
 6.98
 1.027
 Not Significant

 Above 10 years
 146
 32.18
 7.19
 1.027
 Not Significant

Table 10 indicates that calculated t-ratio (1.027) is not significant at 0.05(1.96) level of significance. Therefore, from the table it may be concluded that there is no significant difference instress of elementary school teachers in relation to their years of teaching experience. Hence, framed hypothesis that there is significant difference in stress of elementary school teachers in relation to their years of teaching experience is rejected.

Table 11: Showing t- ratio of stress of elementary school teachers in relation to their marital status

Group	N	Mean	SD	't' value	Level of Significance at 0.05
Married	124	32.85	7.52	0.585	Not
Unmarried	136	32.33	6.70		Significant

Table 11 indicates that calculated t-ratio (0.585) is not significant at 0.05(1.96) level of significance. Therefore, from the table it may be concluded that there is no significant difference instress of elementary school teachers in relation to their marital status. Hence, framed hypothesis that there is significant difference in stress of elementary school teachers in relation to their marital status is rejected.

Table 12: Showing t- ratio of stress of elementary school teachers in relation to their type of family

Group	N	Mean	SD	't' value	Level of Significance at 0.05
Nuclear	122	32.16	7.38	0.000	Not
Joint	138	32.95	6.84	0.898	Significant

Table 12 indicates that calculated t-ratio (0.898) is not significant at 0.05(1.96) level of significance. Therefore, from the table it may be concluded that there is no significant difference in stress of elementary school teachers in relation to their type of family. Hence, framed hypothesis that there is significant difference in stress of elementary school teachers in relation to their type of family is rejected.

Major Findings of the Study:

- There is no significant difference inemotional intelligence of elementary school teachers in relation to their gender.
- There is no significant difference inemotional intelligence of elementary school teachers in relation to their locality of institution.
- There is no significant difference inemotional intelligence of elementary school teachers in relation to their mode of management.
- There is no significant difference inemotional intelligence of elementary school teachers in relation to their years of teaching experience.
- There is no significant difference inemotional intelligence of elementary school teachers in relation to their marital status.
- There is no significant difference inemotional intelligence of elementary school teachers in relation to their type of family.

- There is no significant difference in stress of elementary school teachers in relation to their gender.
- There is no significant difference instress of elementary school teachers in relation to their locality of institution.
- There is no significant difference in stress of elementary school teachers in relation to their mode of management.
- There is no significant difference in stress of elementary school teachers in relation to their years of teaching experience.
- There is no significant difference in stress of elementary school teachers in relation to their marital status.
- There is no significant difference in stress of elementary school teachers in relation to their type of family.

Educational Implications:

- To strengthen the emotional intelligence aspects of the elementary school teachers, guidance and counselling services are to be made an integral part of the school management.
- As teachers under different managements differ in dimensions and emotional intelligence, in-depth studies help to identify the effective factors from each type of management and for their dissemination to other organizations.
- Emotional intelligence and stress factors are to be considered in teacher training programmes and in inservice training programmes.

Conclusion:

Emotions and emotional intelligence have been duly recognized to have particular relevance to school teachers who are vulnerable to high levels of stress and burnout due to the nature of their work; indeed, teaching is one of the most stressful jobs whose success is fundamentally based on the effective interaction between students and teachers. However, teaching is becoming a very challenging job that requires teachers to have adaptive abilities such as appraisal of self and others, regulation of self and others, and effective utilization of emotions. Many studies havebeen conducted on emotional intelligence and its relationship with various demographic variables which have been shown to be complex and multifaceted. According to findings obtained from qualitative research, issues which teachers have gone through stress most areoriginating from school administration, teaching profession and school facilities. According to participants' opinions, sources of stress affecting teachers originating from school facilities; lack of resources and technological facilities, lack of space, long working hours, big-small size of the school building, transformational difficulties, heating conditions of school building and eating problems. It is though provoking thateven though teachers are working in schools. Besides not having economically satisfactory remuneration, teachers demonstrate great effort regardless of many difficulties of the profession. In exchangeof all these efforts, while promotion opportunities are limited teachers had been forced to keep up with constantly changing educational system. All of those are factors for teachers to experience stress. As good teachers do their work at the highest level in schools with good leadership, a school principal who is both mentally and physically unwell could have a potentially dreadful effect on the well-being of both teachers and students. In this point of view, high teacher trust in principals' support will help teachers to cope with stress and, in turn, students' rights to success are adequately addressed.

References:

- 1. Aksoy, A. and Kutluca, F. (2005). A research about sources of stress in work life, symptoms of stress and investigation of outcomes of stress. Journal of Social Politics Conferences (49).
- 2. Allen, R. (1983). Human stress: its nature and control. New York: McMillan Publishing Company.
- 3. Baştuğ, A. (2009). Situation of being affected by administrator's behaviors creating a stress for teachers working in secondary education organizations. Master Thesis, Kırıkkale University, Kırıkkale.
- 4. Best J.W and Kalan J.V (2003) Research in Education (9th Ed.) United States. A Persom education company.
- 5. Buch M.B (2005) Vth Survey in Education Volume 2 Agra Publications.
- 6. Eren, E. (2008). Organizational behavior and psychology of management. İstanbul: Beta Publishing Company.
- 7. Garrett H.E (1966) statistics in Psychology and Education (6th Ed.) Mumbai; Allied Pacific Pvt. Ltd. Columbia University.
- 8. Kothari C.R (2004) Research Methodology: Methods and Techniques (2nd Ed.) New Delhi; New Age International Publishers.
- 9. Koul. L (1996) Methodology of Education Research (3rd ed.), New Delhi: Vikas Publishing House Pvt. Ltd.
- 10. Sabuncuoğlu, Z. and MelekTüz. (2003). Organizational psychology. Bursa: Furkan Publishing Company.
- 11. Turna (2014) Stress resources and coping methods with the stress for teachers (The example of Edirne province / Keşan. Master Thesis, Okan University, Istanbul.